magnetism, or strength of a pole; magnetic potential ; electrokinetic momentum of a circuit ; electric current.
$\zeta$. Atomic energy, or energy of unit volume, can be compared with Earth's photodynamic energy of unit volume, $\frac{m_{3} L_{0}{ }^{2}}{r_{3}^{3} T_{0}^{2}}=\frac{m_{3}}{L_{1} T_{0}^{2}}$, and with corresponding electric energies, through the equations

The bracketed symbols represent, respectively, electric displacement (measured by surface-density) ; electromotive force at a point; magnetic induction; magnetic force; current electric intensity at a point; vector potential of electric current.
$\eta$. Electrochemical and electromagnetic energies may be compared with thermal, photodynamic and other energies, through the proportion

$$
\%: \mu:: M_{0} t_{a}{ }^{2} \times M_{0} v_{3}{ }^{2}: m_{3} t_{n}{ }^{2} \times m_{3} V_{0}^{2}
$$

I designate Weber's units of electrochemical and electromagnetic force by $\chi$ and $\mu$, respectively; $t_{\alpha}$ is the time of acquiring orbital velocity, or incipient associative energy, at Laplace's limit of equal velocities of rotation and revolution ; $t_{n}$ is the time of acquiring nucleal nascent or dissociative velocity.

$$
t_{a}: t_{n}:: 1: \pi
$$

$\theta$. Total magnetic force, $\varphi_{0}$, can be compared with the reactions of terrestrial magnetic force, $\varphi_{3}$, by the proportion

$$
M_{0}^{2}: \pi^{4} m_{3}{ }^{2}:: \varphi_{0}: \varphi_{3}
$$

The reactions of orbital tendency are $t_{a} M_{0}{ }^{\frac{1}{2}}, t_{n} m_{3}{ }^{\frac{1}{2}}$, respectively; centripetal acceleration varying as the fourth power of orbital velocity, we have the ratio, $M_{0}{ }^{2}: \pi^{4} m_{3}{ }^{2}$.
(Contributions from the University of Pennsylvania, No. 16.)
On Alaskaite, a newo member from the series of Bismuth. Sulphosalts. By
Professor George $A$. König.
(Read before the American Philosophical Society, June 17, 1881.)
Mode of occurrence. The high mountain mass of south-western Colorado culminates in Mt. Sneffels at an altitude of 14,156 feet. But this great height does not impress itself upon the observer since the deepest valleys are still 7000 feet above the sea. The geological structure of these mountains is very simple as a whole; but the energetic action of the forces of erosion, has produced a very rich and picturesquely carved topography, there being no table mountains, but only steep peaks and sharply indented crests. Mineral veins in clearly defined outcrops intersect these moun-
tains in astonishing abundance. They are easily traced on the bald slopes, which rise from one to three thousand feet above timber line. The geology, as already stated, is quite simple. Orthoclastic eruptive rocks-Quartz-porphyry, Porphyrite and Trachyte-overlie granitoid metamorphic schists (chiefly gneiss) as an enormons sheet one mile thick. Only towards the West we find palæozoic rocks, sandstones, limestone and coal beds, lying between the crystalline top and bottom rocks, not exceeding probably 500 feet in thickness. All the peaks and crests visited by me were found to be made up of porphyritic rocks, schists and sedimentary rock being only found in the deep cañons. The silver bearing veins I found to be confined to the eruptive rocks with but few exceptions, i. e., the "mineral farm," near Ouray in Silurian limestone; the "black Wonder mine" and some other veins in Burough's Park, which lie in granitoid gueiss.:

One of the most interesting sections of this wonderful region is Poughkeepsie Gulch, one of the head forks of the Uncompaghre river. Here we find numerous veins in a bleak wilderness directly under the pass which leads from the Uncompaghre valley over into the Cement creek, one of the feeders of the Animas river. Very prominent among its neighbors is the Alaska vein which was opened by two adits at the time of my visit last August. The vein is well defined, striking nearly north and south, with almost vertical dip. The breast of the lower adit showed beautiful ore. Nests of gray copper and Alaskaite in a gangue of quartz and barite. Even with candle light the gray copper and Alaskaite (Bismuth silver ore so called here) are distinguishable, and are separated by handpicking into first class, and second class ore. I found some difficulty in procuring specimens, as the foreman had orders not to allow specimens being taken away.

Physical properties of Alaskaite. The specimens consist of a more or less intimate mixture of the new mineral with barite and chalcopyrite, and sometimes a little quartz. Neither blende nor galenite could be detected. The small quantity of antimony shown by the analysis might be due to the presence in the mixture of some gray copper or other Stibiosulphosalts, or may be replacing bismuth in the Alaskaite. But could in no way interfere with the correct definition of the new mineral, as will be seen later. The complete decomposition with hydrochloric acid speaks quite in favor of the latter view.
The structure of Alaskaite is small foliated, some smooth cleavage planes may be observed here and there. Its color is whitish lead-gray, approaching bismuthinite; its lustre strongly metallic ; opaque. Color of the fine powder is bluish-gray. The structure forbids a determination of hardness, but the mineral is mild in the mortar, and easily friable.

The specific gravity was determined with the fine powder used for the Analyses C and D , and found to be 6.878 by the following calculation :
Let $S=1^{8} .3165=A+B+C$ (Alaskaite + barite + chalcopyrite) then we have $\mathrm{p}={ }_{i}^{\mathrm{A}}=\frac{\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{C}}{\Delta-\mathrm{\delta}_{1}-\delta_{2}}$

The analysis gives $\mathrm{B}=0.15 ; \mathrm{S}=0.1975 ; \mathrm{C}=0.228 ; \mathrm{S}=0.0301$ $\hat{o}_{1}=\frac{0.1975}{4.486}=0.644 ; \delta_{2}=\frac{0.0301}{4.2}=0.0072$
$\Delta=0.2095$ by experiment.
hence $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{A}=1.0889 ; \delta=\Delta-\delta_{1}-\delta_{2}=0.1583$
and $p=\begin{gathered}1.0889 \\ 0.1583\end{gathered}=6.878$.
Blowpipe reactions. The mineral decrepitates in the closed tube, similarly to the majority of compounds belonging to this group, it melts then at red heat without the formation of a sublimate. Heated with the O. Fl. on charcoal it yields a deep yellow incrustation with a white non volatile fringe, coloring the seam of the flame faintly azure blue (lead). Upon continned blowing a crimson to peach blossom red zone appears between the yellow and white incrustation (silver). The residue gives the reactions of copper and iron with borax and microcosmic salt, and after cupellation with lead, a considerable silver button. With potassium iodide and sulphur an intense brick red coating is obtained (bismuth). In the open tube sulphurous anhydrite (sulphur) and a minute white sublimate (antimony) are given off, while a yellow residue is produced. Cold concentrated hydrochloric acid acts very slowly upon the finely pulverized mineral, but the heated acid decomposes it completely and rapidly, leaving flocculent silver chloride.

Analyses. So intimate is the mixture of Alaskaite and chalcopyrite, that a complete mechanical separation was not feasible. Now it is quite possible to remove the Alaskaite by HCl from the chalcopyrite and barite, but the quantity of copper-iron-bisulfide is always so small (see analyses) that if the iron be taken as representing chalcopyrite, no appreciable error will be committed. A small quantity of the mineral was picked out carefully, leaving only traces of chalcopyrite, for a preliminary analysis. $\quad 250 \mathrm{mg}$. gave: $\mathrm{Bi}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}=160.0 \mathrm{mgr} ; \mathrm{PbSO}_{4}=38.0 \mathrm{mgr} . \mathrm{Ag} \mathrm{Cl}=23.5 \mathrm{mgr} ; \mathrm{CuO}=$ 7.0 mgr ; birite $=8.3 \mathrm{mgr}$. The substance minus barite was 141.7 mg . Calculated upon this number we have:
$\mathrm{Bi}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{3}=73.02 ; \mathrm{PbS}=12.40 ; \mathrm{Ag}_{2} \mathrm{~S}=9.06 ; \mathrm{Cu}_{2} \mathrm{~S}=6.20$ corresponding very closely to the ratio $(\mathrm{RS})_{20}+\left(\mathrm{Bi}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{3}\right)_{21}$ that is probably as $1: 1$. A quantitative blowpipe assay gave 7.5 p . c. Ag , or minus barite, $8.90 \mathrm{Ag}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$.

With less carefully picked material the following 2 analyses were made, $A$ and $B$, the determinations of $B i$ and Pb in A being lost:

|  | $\mathrm{A}(18.5000)$ | $\mathrm{B}\left(1^{\mathrm{g}} .0000\right)$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Insoluble | 0.2382 | 0.1551 |
| AgCl | 0.1337 | 0.0945 |
| CuO | 0.0710 | 0.0462 |
| $\mathrm{Fe}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ | 0.0220 | 0.0168 |
| ZnO | 0.0040 | 0.0030 |
| $\mathrm{BiClO}^{\mathrm{BiO}}$ | - | 0.4945 |
| $\mathrm{Bi}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ | - | 0.0385 |
| $\mathrm{PbSO}_{4}$ | - | 0.1480 |
| $\mathrm{BaSO}_{4}$ | - | 0.9683 |

Deducting the insoluble (barite) we obtain per cent.

|  | A | B | Mean. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bi | - | 51.49 | 51.49 |
| Pb | - | 12.02 | 12.02 |
| $\mathrm{Ag}=$ | 7.97 | 8.19 | 8.08 |
| $\mathrm{Cu}=$ | 4.49 | 4.37 | 4.43 |
| $\mathrm{Fe}=$ | 1.22 | 1.40 | 1.31 |
| $\mathrm{Zn}=$ | 0.24 | 0.29 | 0.26 |
| S | - | 17.20 | 17.20 |

Deducting again $\mathrm{Fe}=1.31 ; \mathrm{Cu}=1.48 ; \mathrm{S}=1.48$ as chalcopyrite, we obtain for Alaskaite
$\left.\begin{array}{l}\mathrm{Bi}=51.49: 208=0.2475 \\ \mathrm{~Pb}=12.02: 207=0.0585 \\ \mathrm{Ag}=8.08: 216=0.0374 \\ \mathrm{Cu}=3.00: 126.4=0.0237 \\ \mathrm{Zn}=0.26: 65=0.0040 \\ \mathrm{~S}=15.72: 32=0.4912\end{array}\right\} 0.1236$
that is $0.1236 \mathrm{R}: 0.2475 \mathrm{Bi}: 0.4912 \mathrm{~S}=1: 2.002: 3.974$
Notwithstanding the simple ratio, this result cannot be utilized to base definite conclusions thereon, since there is an unaccounted loss of nearly 5 per cent. in the analyses. From the same specimen material was now taken off another corner, and 2 analyses made as follows:

|  | C ( $1^{\text {g }} .000$ ) |  | D ( $1^{\mathrm{B}} .0000$ ) | Mean. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bi | = | 47.27 | 46.47 | 46.87 |
| Sb | $=$ | 0.51 | - | 0.51 |
| Pb | $=$ | - | 9.70 | 9.70 |
| Ag | = | 7.19 | 7.01 | 7.10 |
| Cu | = | 3.54 | 3.\%5 | 3.64 |
| Fe | = | 0.70 | 0.70 | $0 . \% 0$ |
| Zn | = | 0.56 | 0.72 | 0.64 |
| S | $=$ | $15.85^{\circ}$ |  | 15.85 |
| Insoluble | $=$ | 14.90 | 15.10 | 15.00 |
|  |  |  |  | $\overline{100.01}$ |

Taking the iron again as coming from chalcopyrite we deduct 0.79 Cu and 0.79 S , leaving

| Bi | 46.87 |  | 208 | $=$ | 0.2253 | $\} 0.2294$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sb | 0.51 |  | 122 | $=$ | 0.0041 |  |
| Pb | 9.70 |  | $20 \% .2$ | = | 0.0468 | 0.1133 |
| Ag | 7.19 |  | 216 | $=$ | 0.0342 |  |
| Cu | 2.85 |  | 126.4 | = | 0.0295 |  |
| Zn | 0.64 |  | 65 | = | 0.0093 |  |
| S | $15.0 \%$ |  | 32 | $=$ | 0.4709 |  |
| $\left(\mathrm{Fe}_{1} \mathrm{Cu}\right) \mathrm{S}_{2}=$ | 2.28 |  |  |  |  | $\} 0.4$ |
| Barite | 15.00 |  |  |  |  |  |

hence $\mathrm{R}: \mathrm{Bi}: \mathrm{S}=1: 2.020: 4.14$
proc. amer. piillos. soc. xix. 109. 3H. printed Jlly 20 , 1881.

The composition of Alaskaite is therefore in 100 parts

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{Bi}=56.97 \\
& \mathrm{Sb}=0.62 \\
& \mathrm{~Pb}=11.79 \\
& \mathrm{Ag}=8.74 \\
& \mathrm{Cu}=8.46 \\
& \mathrm{Zu}=0.79 \\
& \mathrm{~S}=\frac{17.63}{100.00}
\end{aligned}
$$

This latter result must be taken as establishing the nature of Alaskaite, beyond reasonable doubt.

But on examining some other specimens qualitatively, which appeared to be identical in physical characters with the preceding one, I could not at first produce the crimson silver coating. Suspecting an essential difference I made upon the same powder three analyses the mean of which (closely agreeing) is as follows :


This then is the same mineral, except that the greater part of the silver is replaced by lead.

We have then in Alaskaite a complete type of the sulphosalts of the first order, according to Rammelsberg's symbol:
to wit

$$
\left(\dot{R}_{2} \ddot{R}\right) S+r^{2} S^{3}
$$

$$
\left({ }^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{b}_{1} \ddot{Z}_{n_{1}} \mathrm{Ag}_{2} \mathrm{Cu}_{2}\right) \mathrm{S}+\left(\mathrm{Bi}^{1} \mathrm{Sb}\right)^{2} \mathrm{~S}^{3}
$$

Of this type several members are known, but the copper bismuth glance from Schwarzenberg, and perhaps Domeyko's ore from Copiapo contain bismuth exclusively on the negative side.

The crystallographic symmetry of all the members of this type is probably orthorhombic.

A specimen from the Alaska mine, which had been sent to me as bismuth silver-ore, being composed of quartz, barite, chalcopyrite and what
appeared to be gray copper was pulverized as a whole, and analyzed with the following result :

|  | Calculated |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Sb | $=10.10$ | $\mathrm{Sb}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{3}$ | $=13.68: 340=0.0402\} 0.0530$. |  |
| Bi | $=5.05$ | $\mathrm{Bi}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{3}$ | $=6.59: 512=0.0128$ |  |$\} 0.0530$

If the gray substance be considered homogeneous then its molecular ratio will be

$$
\operatorname{RS}: \mathrm{r}^{2} \mathrm{~S}^{3}=3.1: 1
$$

But gray copper has the ratio of $4: 1$. If the bismuth sulphide be now eliminated with the corresponding positive sulphide in the Alaskaite ratio of $1: 1$, then we get for the ratio of the rest

$$
\mathrm{RS}: \mathrm{Sb}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{3}=3.86: 1
$$

that is nearly the gray copper ratio.
It is made even more probable that such a mixture be here in existence, by qualitative tests upon a large specimen, weighing several kilograms of the massive gray mineral. These tests indicated very varying percentages, by the intensity of bismuth reaction from different points of the piece.

It is a matter of remark from a genetic point of view, that we find in this vein nests of Alaskaite quite free from the gray copper, while the latter seems to be mixed generally with the former in other places whenever it predominates.

The Auriferous Gravels of North Carolina. By H. I. Chance, M.D.
(Rect before the American Philosophical Socicty, July 15, 1SS1.)
The belt of auriferons gravel stretching in an almost unbroken line from the New England States to Alabama, is broadest in North Carolina, where it has also been more productive in the past, and promises greater results in the future than in any other State throngh which it passes.

Yet notwithstanding the flattering prospects apparently presented by these gold fields, the companies organized to work them have rarely at-

